IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,) Case No. 2:22-cv-04027-MDH
v.))
JOHN HUGO EICKHOFF, JR.,)
RHONDA KAYE EICKHOFF,)
HOFFMAN ASSOCIATES, LLC,	
ARIC ELLIOT SCHREINER, COLUMBIA CPA GROUP LLC,)
JOHN WILLIAM GRAY II, and)
DAMON THOMAS EISMA, individually and d/b/a DAMON T. EISMA)
ATTORNEY AT LAW,)
Defendants.))
)

JOINT MOTION FOR ENTRY OF STIPULATED PERMENANT INJUNCTION AGAINST RHONDA EICKHOFF

The United States and Rhonda Eickhoff jointly move for entry of an Order and Judgment of Permanent Injunction that would settle all claims in this action against Rhonda Eickhoff. The grounds for this joint motion are set forth below.

- 1. On March 9, 2022, the United States filed an Amended Complaint against multiple defendants, including Rhonda Eickhoff under 26 U.S.C. §§ 7402 and 7408. In its Amended Complaint, the United States alleges that defendants organized, promoted, and facilitated an abusive tax scheme for their customers involving charitable remainder annuity trusts ("CRATs"). Rhonda Eickhoff denies any wrongdoing or liability.
- 2. Sections 7402 and 7408 of Title 26 each authorize this Court to issue orders to enjoin conduct alleged in the Amended Complaint. Specifically:

- a. Section 7408 authorizes a district court to enjoin any person from engaging in "specified conduct" subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. §§ 6700, 6701, 6707, or 6708, or in violation of any requirement under regulations issued under section 330 of title 31 of the United States Code if injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent the recurrence of the conduct or other activity subject to penalty under the Internal Revenue Code.
- b. Section 7402 authorizes a district court to, among other things, issue injunctions "as may be necessary or appropriate for the enforcement of the internal revenue laws ... in addition to and not exclusive of any and all other remedies of the United States in such courts or otherwise to enforce such laws."
- 3. The United States and Rhonda Eickhoff agree that the jurisdictional prerequisites to this action and for entry of the parties' proposed Order and Judgment of Permanent Injunction are met as enumerated below.
 - a. The Chief Counsel of the Internal Revenue Service, a delegate of the Secretary of the Treasury, authorized the Attorney General to initiate this litigation and seek terms contained in the proposed Order and Judgment of Permanent Injunction.
 - b. Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by 26 U.S.C § 7402(a), granting that:
 - [t]he district courts of the United States at the instance of the United States shall have such jurisdiction to make and issue in civil actions, writs and orders of injunction . . . and such other orders and processes, and to render such judgments and decrees as may be necessary or appropriate for the enforcement of the internal revenue laws.

- c. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial portion of the events giving rise to this action occurred within this judicial district.
- 4. The Order and Judgment of Permanent Injunction proposed by the United States and Rhonda Eickhoff, submitted as a proposed order with this Motion, reflect terms stipulated to by both parties. (*See* Exhibit A (stipulation between the United States and Rhonda Eickhoff).)

 The Order and Judgment of Permanent Injunction would permanently bar Rhonda Eickhoff from specific conduct and activities that the United States alleges violate the Internal Revenue laws and are the subject of the allegations in the Amended Complaint. While agreeing to the stipulation, as stated above Rhonda Eickhoff disputes these allegations.
- 5. The Court evaluates terms of a proposed, consented-to order in litigation enforcing federal law for "fairness, reasonableness, and consistency with the governing statute." *Mo. Coalition for the Env't Found. v. McCarthy*, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 190125, *3 (W.D. Mo. Dec. 7, 2016) (citing *U.S. v. Union Elec. Co.*, 132 F.3d 422, 430 (8th Cir. 1997)). "The Court is required to determine not whether a particular decree is the one that will best serve society, but whether the settlement is within the reaches of the public interest." *Id.* (citing *United States v. Bechtel Corp.*, 648 F.2d 660, 666 (9th Cir. 1981)). Whether the proposed order will "inhibit or otherwise affect the right[s]" of non-parties to the order is also relevant to the Court's inquiry. *Id.* at *4-5.
- 6. The proposed Order and Judgment of Permanent Injunction against Rhonda
 Eickhoff meets this standard because: (a) it will permanently enjoin her from conduct that the
 United States alleges violates the Internal Revenue laws; (b) the terms and prohibitions in the
 proposed Order and Judgment of Permanent Injunction are consistent with the purpose of the

governing statutes in this action (i.e., 26 U.S.C. §§ 7402 and 7408), as addressed above; and (c)

entry of the Order and Judgment of Permanent Injunction against Rhonda Eickhoff would not

bind other parties in this action, except that if the other Defendants with notice of this injunction

take any future action in concert with Rhonda Eickhoff for which they are being enjoined, they

too are then subject to this injunction.

7. Accordingly and pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b), there is no just reason for

delay to enter the proposed Order and Judgment of Permanent Injunction against Rhonda

Eickhoff.

WHEREFORE, the United States and Rhonda Eickhoff respectfully request that the

Court enter the proposed Order and Judgment of Permanent Injunction against Rhonda Eickhoff.

Dated: May 17, 2023

TERESA A. MOORE

United States Attorney

DAVID A. HUBBERT

Deputy Assistant Attorney General

/s/ Russell J. Edelstein

RUSSELL J. EDELSTEIN

MA Bar No.: 663227

0.. 003227

NATHAN D. BANEY

VA Bar No.: 75935

Dai 110.. 75755

Trial Attorneys, Tax Division

U.S. Department of Justice

P.O. Box 7238 – Ben Franklin Station

Washington, D.C. 20044

Tel: (202) 616-2704 (Edelstein)

Tel: (202) 307-6560 (Baney)

Fax: (202) 514-6770

russell.j.edelstein@usdoj.gov

nathan.baney@usdoj.gov

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES

/s/ Sara G. Neill

SARA G. NEILL, #53053 (MO) ANDREW W. BLACKWELL, #64734 (MO) Capes, Sokol, Goodman & Sarachan, P.C. 8182 Maryland Avenue, Fifteenth Floor St. Louis, MO 63105

Telephone: (314) 505-5418 Facsimile: (314) 505-5419 Email: neill@capessokol.com Email: blackwell@capessokol.com COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT RHONDA KAYE EICKHOFF